

BRIAN E. WASHINGTON, COUNTY COUNSEL
Brian Charles Case, SBN 254218
Sarah Burke Anker, SBN 291924
3501 Civic Center Drive, Room 275
San Rafael, CA 94903
Tel.: (415) 473-6117, Fax: (415) 473-3796

Attorney(s) for County of Marin (a.k.a. Marin County District Attorney's Office) and Deputy District Attorney Andres H. Perez

CHARLES J. MCKEE, COUNTY COUNSEL
William Merrill Litt SBN 166614
168 W Alisal Street, 3rd Floor
Salinas, CA 93901
Tel.: (831) 755-5045, Fax (831) 755-5283

Attorney(s) for County of Monterey (a.k.a. Monterey County District Attorney's Office) and Deputy District Attorney John Hubanks

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

NATIONWIDE BIWEEKLY
ADMINISTRATION, INC, an Ohio Corporation;
LOAN PAYMENT ADMINISTRATION LLC, AN
Ohio limited liability company; and DANIEL S.
LIPSKY, an individual;

Case No.: 14-cv-04420-LHK

**JOINT STIPULATION REGARDING
EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE
RESPONSIVE PLEADING (Local Rule 6-1)**

Plaintiffs,

V.

JOHN F. HUBANKS, Deputy District Attorney, Monterey County District Attorney's Office, in his official capacity; ANDRES H. PEREZ, Deputy District Attorney, Marin County District Attorney's Office, in his official capacity; MONTEREY COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE, a County Agency; and MARIN COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE, a County agency.

Defendants.

This stipulation is entered by and between plaintiffs Nationwide Biweekly Administration, Inc., Loan Payment Administration LLC, and Daniel S. Lipsky (collectively, “Nationwide”), on the one hand, and defendants John F. Hubanks, Andres H. Perez, Monterey County District Attorney’s Office, and Marin County District Attorney’s Office (collectively, the “District Attorneys”), on the other hand, with reference to the following facts:

1. On July 17, 2018, Plaintiffs filed their First Amended Complaint. *See* Dkt. No. 108.
2. Northern District Local Rule 6-1 provides: “Parties may stipulate in writing, without a Court order, to extend the time within which to answer or otherwise respond to the complaint, or to enlarge or shorten the time in matters not required to be filed or lodged with the Court, provided the change will not alter the date of any event or any deadline already fixed by Court order.”
3. Accordingly, as this stipulation will not alter the date of any event or any deadline already fixed by Court order, the parties have agreed to continue the time for Defendants to file their responsive pleading, from July 31, 2018 to August 7, 2018. Further, the parties have agreed to provide Plaintiffs with an additional 7 days to file their Opposition to Defendants Motion to Dismiss.
4. The parties also seek to clarify that if the Defendants file a Motion to Dismiss, it shall toll the deadline to Answer, which shall be 14 days after this Court’s ruling on said Motion to Dismiss.
5. This Court has made no previous orders about the time to Answer Plaintiff’s First Amended Complaint.

111

111

111

111

111

111

111

111

111

1 Now, therefore, the parties **HEREBY STIPULATE AND AGREE** to continue the time for Defendants
2 to file a responsive pleading from July 31, 2018 to August 7, 2018. Further, if the Defendants file a
3 Motion to Dismiss, it shall toll the deadline to Answer. Specifically, in the event this Court denies said
4 Motion to Dismiss, an answer would be due 14 days after the ruling is issued.

5 **IT IS SO STIPULATED.**

6
7 Dated: July 31, 2018

BRIAN WASHINGTON, COUNTY COUNSEL

8 By: /s

9 Brian Charles Case

10
11 Deputy County Counsel
12 Attorneys for Defendants ANDRES H. PEREZ
13 and the COUNTY OF MARIN

14 Dated: July 31, 2018

CHARLES J. MCKEE, COUNTY COUNSEL

15 By: /s

16 William Merrill Litt

17
18 Deputy County Counsel
19 Attorneys for Defendants JOHN F. HUBANKS
20 and the COUNTY OF MONTEREY

21 Dated: July 31, 2018

DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP

22 By: /s

23 Diana Palacios
24 Attorneys for Plaintiffs